





CITY NEWS.

WANTED.—Two apprentices to the printing business. Those who have some knowledge of type setting only need apply. Inquire at the clerk's desk or to the foreman in the news room.

Small Cylinder Hoe Printing Press for Sale.

The Democrat is printed upon a double-cylinder Hoe press; and a single-cylinder press, formerly used by us, is offered for sale. It is of the largest sized plate, and in perfect order, and will be sold at a bargain. Address publishers Democrat for particulars.

POLICE PROCEEDINGS.—Saturday, March 25.—The hardest-looking set of units this morning has been presented for some months—women in men's clothes, and men in women's clothes.

Mary Jackson, drunk and disorderly; fined \$30, workhouse.

Mary Hamilton, on the charge of drunkenness; fined \$30, workhouse.

Isaac Brackeale (a native), charged with cutting with intent to kill Jim Waters, another native, about the draft.

Richard Atwell, charged with arson—burning the house of Byrck, on the corner of Preston and Jefferson; continued until Monday morning; bail in \$300 to answer.

Henry Gre, drunk and disorderly; fined \$5.

John Williams and Thomas Edgar, stealing a gold watch (stolen) from Lott Johnson; discharged.

Sally Jackson, Mary Smith, Adam Blizard and Edward Barker, drunkenness and disorderly conduct; fined \$5 each and required to give bonds in \$100 for one month.

The case of Green Evans, which was partially heard the other day was called. He is charged with inducing slaves to leave their masters; discharged.

Louis Crowder, stealing a box of shoes from the Mailbox Company; \$300 to answer in the Circuit Court.

ASSAULT.—Under this caption we are compelled to record an assault which, considering the standing of the parties, excites anything we have recorded of late. About 10 o'clock yesterday morning Wm. Bainbridge, an old and respected citizen of the place, entered the bank of which W. C. Hill is President, and, addressing the latter in a rough manner, struck him on the head with a large stone which he (Bainbridge) had in his hand, inflicting a very serious wound. The whole affair, as we learn, originated out of a previous difficulty between the mailbox company and the said Bainbridge, who is the owner of the Madison wharf-boat. We understand there had been previous disputes between the parties in regard to the wharf. The wound received by Mr. Hill, though a very painful one, is not considered dangerous.

LAST NIGHT Miss Thompson closed her engagement of three weeks at the Louisville Theater, which has been most brilliant. Each night the house has been crowded with thousands of our best citizens, who, feeling that they could not permit their favorite to depart without some special mark of their esteem, took occasion, at the close of the first piece, to present her with a magnificent diamond cluster ring of the value of \$500. This was a well-merited compliment, and Miss Thompson returned her acknowledgments in a few well-chosen words, which highly delighted her admirers. May this beautiful artist's career be as brilliant as the diamonds that sparkle in her person.

FINES IN THE POLICE COURT.—Our readers will no doubt remember that on the 1st of March last the new law in regard to the Police Court went into effect. Since that time persons who have been presented before the court upon the charge of drunkenness, instead of being held to bail, have been compelled to pay a fine of not less than three nor more than fifteen dollars. Since that time the Clerk of the City Court has collected as fines of this kind \$300, in addition to which several persons, who were unable to pay their fines, have worked out the same in the workhouse at 75 cents per day.

COURTS MARTIAL.—At Col. Johnson's Court yesterday, the case of Lieut. Pyers, which was continued from the previous day, was finished. The case of Lieut. McGee, charged with drunkenness while on duty, was disposed of, and the findings and sentence of the Court have been sent forward for approval. To-morrow the case of Sergeant Herbert, of the Second Ohio heavy artillery, charged with desertion, will be investigated.

There was nothing done in Col. Vaughan's Court yesterday.

We notice that the Louisville Transfer Co. have lately received a very large and beautiful omnibus, capable of seating twenty persons comfortably, which will run in connection with the railroads in making transfers of passengers. They have ordered some six or eight more, similar in capacity, for the use of the company at this point. The Transfer Company has grown to be one of the "institutions" and like Adams & Co.'s Express, there is no such thing as getting along without it.

It will be seen by reference to the notice of George W. Womack, Provost Marshal of this district, that persons who were expected from enrolling their slaves at the appointed time in districts named, may report them at the headquarters of the Board of Enrollment, on Jefferson street, between Third and Fourth, from Monday to Saturday of this week.

BARRACKS ITEMS.—Two hundred and seventy recruits from different points, en route for their regiments, and thirteen deserters were the only arrivals at Barracks No. 1 yesterday. One hundred and ninety-three convalescents and recruits were transferred to other regiments and thirty-three deserters were sent off in trains.

The Democrat is supplied to all portions of the city and suburbs by prompt and faithful carriers. If there are any others who desire it left to their address, they can procure it of the carriers or leave their orders at the clerk's desk.

ARREST OF DESERTERS.—Atwell Brien and J. W. Brien, of the one hundred and twenty-third Indiana, and John McDowell, of the Fourth Ohio cavalry, were arrested in this city yesterday as deserters.

We understand the war risk on merchandise from here to New Orleans, established by our insurance companies, is only three per cent, just double the ordinary rates of insurance.

We notice customers as well as citizens dressed in excellent home-made jeans, made by the family hand loom sold by Mr. F. Taylor, over Bondurant's seed store.

There was nothing of interest transpiring in river matters yesterday, and this morning we omit our usual river column.

LETTER FROM HUNTSVILLE.

(Correspondence of the Louisville Democrat.)

Huntsville, Ala., March 23, 1864. The martial law, under the immediate orders of General John A. Logan, who was, at the breaking out of "the great rebellion," a member of Congress from Southern Illinois, and was denounced by some of the extreme Northern papers as a man "of all work." Well, Mr. Logan was a Democrat of the old school, "brought up at the feet of General," and continued so to act until the people of the North would give them a blank sheet of paper on which to write the conditions on which they would remain in the Union, they would reject even that, being fully determined to break the Union to fragments.

CONDITION OF TROOPS AT HUNTSVILLE.

Never in the history of war was a troop of troops better disciplined, better drilled, or more disposed to follow and obey the orders of their leaders than are the troops now under the orders of Major General Logan.

It is a fact that the Adjutant General, Major R. Toward, is eminently fit to fill any position that may be offered him. He is a man of the Provost Marshal, Captain Frank C. Gillette, is well posted in that most difficult of all military duties, the management of a camp, and the proper documents are produced, in which case the gallant Captain is as smiling as a child.

The gallant Thirteenth regular infantry is here, under the gallant Captain Lamotte. "Heroes of an hundred battles"—there are but few of them left.

MEMPHIS AND CHARLESTON RAILROAD.

This road is in good order from Huntsville to Stevenson, and makes good time. Mr. John H. Hamilton, the conductor, is a native gentleman, and being of French descent, he could not help being polite, and at the same time is always at his post, faithfully looking out for the interests of his company.

CONFISCATION IN KENTUCKY.

The Kentucky Court of Appeals in the case of Norris vs. Doolittle, on appeal from Mason county, have decided the following points in reference to the case of Congress to confiscate the property of certain classes of rebels, Judge Doolittle delivering the opinion of the Court.

1. The authority to make war for the suppression of the rebellion is derived from those clauses of the Constitution which declare that Congress shall have the power to "provide for calling forth the militia to execute the laws of the Union, suppress insurrection, and repel invasion."

2. The right, given by the Constitution, to make war upon the rebels, is not to be performed acts of war, and no other power whatever.

3. The seizure and confiscation of enemy's property on land are not acts of war.—(Brown vs. United States 8 Cranch.)

4. The Constitution does not prohibit the confiscation of property of rebels, but it does prohibit the confiscation of property of loyal citizens.

5. The protection received by rebels, residing abroad, with reference to their property here, is due, not to the Constitution, but to international comity, which may be suspended during the war.

6. The Constitution, and not the law of nations, governs the rights of citizens of the United States.

7. The act under consideration is unconstitutional, because it attempts to authorize the confiscation of the property of citizens, as a punishment for rebellion.

8. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

9. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

10. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

11. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

12. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

13. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

14. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

15. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

16. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

17. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

18. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

19. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

20. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

21. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

22. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

23. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

24. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

25. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

26. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

27. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

28. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

29. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

30. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

31. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

32. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

33. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

34. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

35. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

36. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

37. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

38. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

39. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

40. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

41. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

42. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

43. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

44. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

45. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

46. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

47. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

48. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

49. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

50. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

51. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

52. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

53. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

54. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

55. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

56. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

57. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

58. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

59. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

60. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

61. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

62. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

63. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

64. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

65. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

66. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

67. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

68. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

69. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

70. The act is unconstitutional, because it attempts to suspend the process of law by proceedings in rem in any district in which the property of rebels is found.

LOCAL NOTICES.

Hagen's Magnolia Balm.

This is the most delightful and extraordinary article ever discovered. It changes the skin into a soft and supple texture, removing all blemishes, freckles, pimples, and wrinkles, leaving the complexion clear and healthy. It is a perfect skin preservative, and is used by the most refined and fashionable people of the world. It is a perfect skin preservative, and is used by the most refined and fashionable people of the world. It is a perfect skin preservative, and is used by the most refined and fashionable people of the world.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

HAIR DYE.

SPECIAL NOTICES.

OFFICERS' SUPPLIES.

Hats, Caps, Gauntlets, Gloves.

Messrs. GREEN & GREEN,

Corner Fourth & Main sts.,

WILL OFFER

ON MONDAY, MARCH 28TH,

FOR CASH ONLY,

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.

Black and White Linen, 40 cents.







